UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,735	06/26/2006	Mikio Oda	19993	8209
23389 7590 11/25/2009 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER	
			SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/584,735	ODA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication comm	Michael B. Shingleton	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2009.</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 and 7-26 is/are w</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  16) The specification is objected to by the	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2815

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada US 6,703,689.

Wada discloses a common form of photo-detector wherein the photo-detector package is meant to contact the input of another semiconductor device through the solder bumps. It is well know to form an array of these elements so as to form an image or just to provide for multiple channel input in the case that the device is a communications device. Applicant says that the array is an "irregularity" arranged in which two photo-detecting elements would constitute an "irregularity" arranged arrangement.

As it is just so common place to select the number of elements to form an array based on either resolution desired or based on the fact concerning the number of channels present, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to made a two element array. Applicant adds that the two or more photodetectors remaining after the removal of unnecessary photodetectors. This is a product by process limitation and it has long been held that the product no matter how made is where patentability rests in a claim drawn to structure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2815

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 6 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1770.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker, can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS November 20, 2009 /Michael B. Shingleton/ Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2815

mill Dillington